BRIEF REPORT

CLINICAL RESEARCH IN ANTHROPOSOPHIC MEDICINE

Harald Johan Hamre, Dr med; Helmut Kiene, Dr med; Gunver Sophia Kienle, Dr med

Anthroposophic medicine includes special medications and special artistic and physical therapies. More than 200 clinical studies of varying design and quality have been conducted on anthroposophic treatment. Half of these studies concern anthroposophic mistletoe therapy for cancer. Clinical effects of mistletoe products include improvement of quality of life, reduction of side effects from chemotherapy and radiation, and possibly increased survival.

Apart from cancer therapy, the largest studies of anthroposophic treatment have been 2 naturalistic system evaluations: In

German outpatients with mental, musculoskeletal, respiratory, and other chronic conditions, anthroposophic treatment was followed by sustained improvements of symptoms and quality of life. In primary care patients from 4 European countries and the United States treated for acute respiratory and ear infections by anthroposophic or conventional physicians, anthroposophic treatment was associated with reduced use of antibiotics and antipyretics, quicker recovery, and fewer adverse reactions; these differences remained after adjustment for relevant baseline differences. (*Altern Ther Health Med.* 2009;15(6):52-55.)

Harald Johan Hamre, Dr med, and Gunver Sophia Kienle, Dr med, are senior research scientists at and Helmut Kiene, Dr med, is the director of the Institute for Applied Epistemology and Medical Methodology, Freiburg, Germany.

nthroposophic medicine (AM) is a complementary therapy system founded in the 1920s by Rudolf Steiner and Ita Wegman¹ and provided by specially trained physicians in 56 countries worldwide.² AM acknowledges a spiritual-existential dimension in humanity, which is assumed to interact with psychological and somatic levels in health and disease. AM therapy includes special treatment modalities (eurythmy movement exercises, art therapy, rhythmical massage therapy) and special medications.³4

Eurythmy therapy is an artistic exercise therapy involving cognitive, emotional, and volitional elements. In eurythmy therapy sessions, patients are instructed to exercise specific movements with the hands, the feet, or the whole body. Eurythmy movements are related to the sounds of vowels and consonants, to music intervals, or to affective gestures (eg, sympathy-antipathy). In AM art therapy, patients engage in painting, drawing, clay modeling, music, or speech exercises. Rhythmical massage therapy was developed from Swedish massage; special techniques include lifting movements, rhythmically undulating gliding movements, and complex movement patterns like lemniscates. AM medications are prepared from minerals, plants, animals, and chemically defined substances. AM medications can be prepared in concentrated form or in homeopathic potencies and are administered in various ways

(oral, rectal, vaginal, conjunctival, nasal, or percutaneous application or by subcutaneous, intracutaneous, or intravenous injection). AM medication therapy can be standardized (1 product for a given indication) or individualized (involving 1 or several AM medications and sometimes nonmedication AM therapies). AM treatments can be administered alone or combined with conventional medical therapy as needed.^{3,4}

HISTORY

AM was developed in the 1920s and early 1930s as a research-based therapy system. In this period, laboratory and clinical studies were conducted according to contemporary standards. After World War II, when AM was reestablished in Europe, the focus was on founding practices, clinics, and hospitals rather than on research. In the 1970s and 1980s, research was performed but also restrained by the predominant paradigm of the double-blind randomized trial, which was difficult to implement in AM settings. In recent times, research activities have grown strongly with experimental and observational studies, with work on methodology, and with researchers catching up with current technical standards.⁴

CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS, STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

Research into AM poses several challenges. Randomized allocation of patients into therapy and control groups is often rejected by AM physicians and their patients, chiefly because the physician-patient relationship is disturbed by randomization and because of strong therapy preferences.^{5,6} Randomization refusal and other obstacles have led to severe recruitment problems and

premature termination of a number of randomized trials of AM medications.⁶ Some forms of AM therapy can be evaluated in non-AM settings without unduly distorting the treatment, however, and for these treatments, randomized studies are possible and have been conducted.⁴

Blinding of patients is often unmasked because of properties of the AM treatment such as local reactions to injections.⁷ Blinding can also induce a subtle form of bias, when patients willing to participate in double-blind trials have worse outcomes of AM therapy than patients who reject being blinded.⁸ Nevertheless, for some AM medications, double-blind trials are possible and have been successfully conducted.⁹⁻¹²

Another challenge is the very large number of AM therapy options; approximately 1700 AM medications are manufactured, and most are sold in very small quantities (personal communication, Agnes Mitzakoff, February 23, 2009; e-mail communication, Peter Vögele, March 1, 2009). Moreover, AM therapy is often individualized, involving several AM medications sometimes combined with artistic or physical therapies, and as a result, the number of AM therapy options is further increased. Consequently, there is not enough money or manpower to conduct individual studies for each AM therapy option. A solution to this challenge is to evaluate AM therapy as a whole system.¹³ Whole-system evaluations of AM treatment have been performed with acute infections,⁵ cancer, ¹⁴⁻¹⁸ and other chronic indications.^{4,19} A strength of these system evaluations is their high practice relevance, with clinically relevant settings, range of patients, therapy administration, and outcomes. 4 Whole-system evaluations can be supplemented by analyses of major components of the AM therapy system. 20-24

PREVENTION

Research into preventive effects of AM has focused on allergic diseases, which affect up to one-third of children in many countries.^{25,26} Related to the AM approach is an educational philosophy implemented in more than 3000 Waldorf schools, kindergartens, and curative education centers worldwide. 27,28 In well-controlled epidemiological studies, Waldorf school attendance was associated with a reduced risk for atopic disease, 29,30 possibly mediated by effects on the intestinal bacterial flora from restrictive use of antibiotics and antipyretics in childhood infectious disease30 or from a diet containing fermented vegetables.31 Antibiotic and antipyretic use in early childhood is a risk factor for allergic diseases.³²⁻³⁴ In a naturalistic study of primary care patients treated for acute respiratory or ear infections, the use of antibiotics and antipyretics could be reduced to a minimum in AM settings (antibiotics: 5% vs 34% of patients treated by AM or conventional physicians, respectively; antipyretics: 3% vs 22%, respectively) without detrimental effects.5

CLINICAL EFFECTIVENESS

The most complete systematic review of clinical effectiveness of AM treatment identified 195 studies, 18 of which were randomized trials. Of the studies, 186 (including 15 of the 18

randomized trials) had positive results for AM treatment, 8 studies had no benefit, and 1 study had a negative trend. Study quality was variable: some studies had serious deficiencies, but there were also a number of very carefully conducted and well described studies.⁴ One possible explanation for the deficiencies is that many studies were performed by enthusiastic AM practitioners who did not have formal training in clinical research.

Half of the studies concerned AM mistletoe therapy for cancer; other frequent indications were acute infections, pain syndromes, and hepatitis.4 AM mistletoe products are widely used in Central Europe. In Germany, 9.2 million defined daily doses of AM mistletoe products were sold in 2007, amounting to 23% of all chemotherapy agents sold.³⁵ A large number of laboratory studies have shown that mistletoe extracts inhibit the growth of cancer cells, modulate the immune system favorably, and stabilize DNA in noncancerous cells.36,37 Moreover, numerous animal experiments show a reduction of tumor growth and metastasis after mistletoe application.^{36,37} The most complete systematic review of clinical effectiveness of AM mistletoe products comprised 37 studies, of which 16 were randomized trials.³⁸ The best documented clinical effects of AM mistletoe therapy are improvement of quality of life and reduction of side effects from chemotherapy and radiation. A survival benefit also has been shown but not beyond critique. Tumor remissions have been described following local or high-dose applications of AM mistletoe products.38

Apart from cancer therapy, the largest and most detailed clinical studies of AM therapy have been 2 system evaluations, together comprising more than 2700 patients. The Anthroposophic Medicine Outcomes Study (AMOS) is a naturalistic cohort study of German outpatients treated for mental, musculoskeletal, respiratory, and other chronic conditions.39 One-fourth of all qualified AM physicians and therapists in Germany participated in AMOS, and the participating physicians and dentists resembled eligible but not participating physicians and therapists with respect to age, gender, the number of years in practice, and the proportion working in primary care. 20-23 These features suggest that the AMOS study to a high degree mirrors contemporary AM use in outpatient settings. Following AM treatment (art therapy, rhythmical massage, eurythmy, physicianprovided counseling, AM medications), substantial and sustained improvements of disease symptoms and quality of life were observed.³⁹ These improvements were found in adults and children, 39,40 in all therapy modality groups, 20-24 and in all evaluable diagnosis groups. 41-44 The improvements in quality of life were at least of the same order of magnitude as improvements following other (non-AM) treatments. 45 Some of the improvement may have other causes than the AM therapy, such as other treatments; however, patients not using conventional therapies for their main disorder (two-thirds of patients) had a similar improvement.24 A more detailed analysis of 4 possible causes of the improvement showed that conventional therapies together with patient dropout, natural recovery, and regression to the mean together explained a maximum of 37% of the improvement.⁴⁶

The International Integrative Primary Care Outcomes Study–Anthroposophy study was a naturalistic comparison of primary care patients from 4 European countries and the United States who were treated by AM or conventional physicians for acute respiratory and ear infections.⁵ Compared to conventional therapy, AM treatment was associated with reduced use of antibiotics and antipyretics, quicker recovery, fewer adverse reactions, and greater therapy satisfaction. These differences remained after adjustment for country, age, gender, and 4 markers of baseline severity.⁵

SAFETY

In safety studies, AM treatment is generally well tolerated. Adverse reactions are infrequent and mostly mild to moderate in severity. Three types of adverse reactions to AM medications are commonly described: local reactions from topical application, systemic hypersensitivity including very rare cases of anaphylactic reactions, and aggravation of preexisting symptoms in sensitive patients. 4.47.48 In a detailed safety analysis from the AMOS study, the incidence of confirmed adverse reactions to AM medications was 3% of users and 2% of the medications used. No serious adverse reactions were found. 48 An innovative electronic pharmacovigilance system has been established in a network of AM practices. 49

Theoretically, avoidance of necessary conventional treatment in AM settings might pose a risk, ⁵⁰ but no evidence has been found for this. ⁴ In comparative studies, AM treatment had similar ⁴² or lower ^{5,19,51} rates of adverse reactions than conventional treatment.

COST

The most detailed cost analysis of AM treatment was performed in the AMOS study.⁵² The analysis included costs of AM and conventional therapies, inpatient hospital and rehabilitation treatment, and sick leave. Total costs in the first study year did not differ significantly from costs in the pre-study year, although the patients were starting new AM therapy, whereas in the second year, costs were significantly reduced by 13%. The cost reduction was due to a reduction of inpatient hospitalization that could not be explained by secular trends during the study period.⁵² Other, less detailed evaluations also indicate similar or lower costs in AM therapy settings compared to conventional settings.⁴

CONCLUSION

It is difficult to conduct randomized trials for each AM therapy option because of therapy preferences and because of the very large number of AM medications used. More than 200 studies are now available, 90% of them observational and of varying quality. The studies predominantly show good clinical outcomes, few side effects, high patient satisfaction, and possibly slightly less cost, but there is a need for more studies of high quality.

REFERENCES

- Steiner R, Wegman I. Extending Practical Medicine: Fundamental Principles Based on the Science of the Spirit. [First published 1925]. Bristol: Rudolf Steiner Press; 2000.
- 2. Derzeitige Ausbreitung der Anthroposophisch-Medizinischen Bewegung [Current dis-

- semination of the anthroposophic medical movement]. 1924-2004 Sektion für Anthroposophische Medizin. Standortbestimmung / Arbeitsperspektiven. [1924-2004 Section for Anthroposophic Medicine. Current status and future perspectives]. Dornach: Free Academy of Spiritual Science; 2004:7-9.
- Ritchie J, Wilkinson J, Gantley M, Feder G, Carter Y, Formby J. A Model of Integrated Primary Care: Anthroposophic Medicine. London: Department of General Practice and Primary Care, St Bartholomew's and the Royal London School of Medicine, Queen Mary, University of London; 2001.
- Kienle GS, Kiene H, Albonico HU. Anthroposophic Medicine: Effectiveness, Utility, Costs, Safety. Stuttgart, Germany; New York, NY: Schattauer Verlag; 2006.
- Hamre HJ, Fischer M, Heger M, et al. Anthroposophic vs. conventional therapy of acute respiratory and ear infections: a prospective outcomes study. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2005;117(7-8):256-268.
- Ziegler R. Mistletoe preparation Iscador: are there methodological concerns with respect to controlled clinical trials? Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2009;6(1):19-30. Epub 2007 Oct 4.
- Rostock M, Huber R. Randomized and double-blind studies—demands and reality as demonstrated by two examples of mistletoe research. Forsch Komplementarmed Klass Naturheilkd. 2004;11 Suppl 1:18-22.
- Grossarth-Maticek R, Kiene H, Baumgartner SM, Ziegler R. Use of Iscador, an extract
 of European mistletoe (*Viscum album*), in cancer treatment: prospective nonrandomized and randomized matched-pair studies nested within a cohort study. *Altern Ther Health Med.* 2001;7(3):57-66, 68-72, 74-6 passim.
- Cysarz D, Schürholz T, Bettermann H, Kümmell HC. Evaluation of modulations in heart rate variability caused by a composition of herbal extracts. *Arzneimittelforschung*. 2000;50(5):420-424.
- Cysarz D, Heckmann C, Bettermann H, Kümmell HC. Effects of an anthroposophical remedy on cardiorespiratory regulation. Altern Ther Health Med. 2002;8(6):78-83.
- Jeffrey SL, Belcher HJ. Use of Arnica to relieve pain after carpal-tunnel release surgery. Altern Ther Health Med. 2002;8(2):66-68.
- Karow JH, Abt HP, Fröhling M, Ackermann H. Efficacy of Arnica montana D4 for healing of wounds after Hallux valgus surgery compared to diclofenac. J Altern Complement Med. 2008;14(1):17-25.
- Boon H, MacPherson H, Fleishman S, et al. Evaluating complex healthcare systems: a critique of four approaches. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2007;4(3):279-285.
- Arman M, Rehnsfeldt A, Carlsson M, Hamrin E. Indications of change in life perspective among women with breast cancer admitted to complementary care. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl). 2001;10(3):192-200.
- Carlsson M, Arman M, Backman M, Flatters U, Hatschek T, Hamrin E. Evaluation of quality of life/life satisfaction in women with breast cancer in complementary and conventional care. Acta Oncol. 2004;43(1):27-34.
- Arman M, Backman M. A longitudinal study on women's experiences of life with breast cancer in anthroposophical (complementary) and conventional care. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl). 2007;16(5):444-450.
- Heusser P, Braun SB, Ziegler R, et al. Palliative in-patient cancer treatment in an anthroposophic hospital: I. Treatment patterns and compliance with anthroposophic medicine. Forsch Komplementarmed. 2006;13(2):94-100.
- Heusser P, Braun SB, Bertschy M et al. Palliative in-patient cancer treatment in an anthroposophic hospital: II. Quality of life during and after stationary treatment, and subjective treatment benefits. Forsch Komplementarmed. 2006;13(3):156-166.
- Esch BM, Marian F, Busato A, Heusser P. Patient satisfaction with primary care: an observational study comparing anthroposophic and conventional care. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2008 Sep 30;6:74.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Glockmann A, Ziegler R, Willich SN, Kiene H. Eurythmy therapy in chronic disease: a four-year prospective cohort study. BMC Public Health. 2007 Apr 23:7:61.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Glockmann A, Ziegler R, Willich SN, Kiene H. Anthroposophic art therapy in chronic disease: a four-year prospective cohort study. Explore (NY). 2007;3(4):365-371.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Glockmann A, Ziegler R, Willich SN, Kiene H. Rhythmical massage therapy in chronic disease: a 4-year prospective cohort study. J Altern Complement Med. 2007:13(6):635-642.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Glockmann A, Ziegler R, Willich SN, Kiene H. Anthroposophic medical therapy in chronic disease: a four-year prospective cohort study. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2007 Apr 23;7:10.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Glockmann A, et al. Outcome of anthroposophic medication therapy in chronic disease: a 12-month prospective cohort study. *Drug Design Devel Ther*, 2008;2:25-37.
- Worldwide variation in prevalence of symptoms of asthma, allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, and atopic eczema: ISAAC. The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) Steering Committee. *Lancet*. 1998;351(9111):1225-1232.
- Asher MI, Montefort S, Björkstén B, et al; ISAAC Phase Three Study Group. Worldwide time trends in the prevalence of symptoms of asthma, allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, and eczema in childhood: ISAAC Phases One and Three repeat multicountry cross-sectional surveys. *Lancet*. 2006;368(9537):733-743.
- No authors listed. List of centers. KHS: Council for Curative Education and Social Therapy. Available at:http://www.khsdornach.org/en/evz/. Accessed July 6, 2009.
- No authors listed. Waldorfschulen weltweit. Bund der Freien Waldorfschulen. Available at: http://www.waldorfschule.info/de/schulen/index.html. Accessed July 6, 2009.
- Alm JS, Swartz J, Lilja G, Scheynius A, Pershagen G. Atopy in children of families with an anthroposophic lifestyle. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9163):1485-1488.

- Flöistrup H, Swartz J, Bergström A, et al. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2006;117(1):59-66.
- Alm JS, Swartz J, Björksten B, et al. An anthroposophic lifestyle and intestinal microflora in infancy. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2002;13(6):402-411.
- Marra F, Lynd L, Coombes M, et al. Does antibiotic exposure during infancy lead to development of asthma?: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Chest. 2006;129(3):610-618.
- Kozyrskyj AL, Ernst P, Becker AB. Increased risk of childhood asthma from antibiotic use in early life. Chest. 2007;131(6):1753-1759.
- Beasley R, Clayton T, Crane J, et al. Association between paracetamol use in infancy and childhood, and risk of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis, and eczema in children aged 6-7 years: analysis from Phase Three of the ISAAC programme. *Lancet*. 2008;372(9643):1039-1048.
- Schwabe U, Paffrath D, eds. Arzneiverordnungs-Report 2008: Aktuelle Daten, Kosten, Trends und Kommentare. Berlin; Heidelberg; New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 2008.
- 36. Büssing A. Mistletoe: The Genus Viscum. Amsterdam: Harwood Academic Publishers; 2000.
- Kienle GS, Kiene H. Die Mistel in der Onkologie: Fakten und konzeptionelle Grundlagen. Stuttgart, Germany: Schattauer Verlag; 2003.
- Kienle GS, Kiene H. Complementary cancer therapy: a systematic review of prospective clinical trials on anthroposophic mistletoe extracts. Eur J Med Res. 2007;12(3):103-119.
- Hamre HJ, Becker-Witt C, Glockmann A, Ziegler R, Willich SN, Kiene H. Anthroposophic therapies in chronic disease: The Anthroposophic Medicine Outcomes Study (AMOS). Eur J Med Res. 2004;9(7):351-360.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Kienle GS et al. Anthroposophic therapy for children with chronic disease: a two-year prospective cohort study in routine outpatient settings. BMC Pediatr. 2009:9:39.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Glockmann A, Ziegler R, Willich SN, Kiene H. Anthroposophic therapy for chronic depression: a four-year prospective cohort study. BMC Psychiatry. 2006;6(57):doi:10.1186/1471-244X-6-57.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Glockmann A et al. Anthroposophic vs. conventional therapy for chronic low back pain: a prospective comparative study. Eur J Med Res. 2007;12(7):302-310.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Kienle GS, et al. Long-term outcomes of anthroposophic therapy for chronic low back pain: A two-year follow-up analysis. J Pain Res. 2009;2:75-85.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Kienle GS, et al. Anthroposophic therapy for anxiety disorders: a two-year prospective cohort study in routine outpatient settings. Clin Med Psychiatry. 2009;2:17-31.
- Hamre HJ, Glockmann A, Tröger W, Kienle GS, Kiene H. Assessing the order of magnitude of outcomes in single-arm cohorts through systematic comparison with corresponding cohorts: an example from the AMOS study. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2008;8(1 1):doi:10.1186/1471-2288-8-11.
- Hamre HJ, Glockmann A, Kienle GS, Kiene H. Combined bias suppression in singlearm therapy studies. J Eval Clin Pract. 2008;14(5):923-929.
- Baars EW, Adriaansen-Tennekes R, Eikmans KJ. Safety of homeopathic injectables for subcutaneous administration: a documentation of the experience of prescribing practitioners. J Altern Complement Med. 2005;11(4):609-616.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Glockmann A, Troger W, Willich SN, Kiene H. Use and safety of anthroposophic medications in chronic disease: a 2-year prospective analysis. *Drug Saf.* 2006;29(12):1173-1189.
- Jeschke E, Buchwald D, Lüke C, Tabali M, Ostermann T, Matthes H. EVAMED a prescription-based electronic pharmacovigilance system in complementary medicine [Abstract MA3-6]. Forsch Komplementarmed. 2007;14(Suppl 1):8.
- Louhiala P. Anthroposophie, Medizin und Forschung. Kongressbericht über das XV.
 Gyllenberg-Symposium. Research in Anthroposophical Medicine. vom 29. bis 31.
 Oktober 1998 in Hanasaari, Finnland. Forsch Komplementarmed. 1999;6(1):24-26.
- Plangger N, Rist L, Zimmermann R, Mandach UV. Intravenous tocolysis with Bryophyllum pinnatum is better tolerated than beta-agonist application. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2006;124:168-172.
- Hamre HJ, Witt CM, Glockmann A, Ziegler R, Willich SN, Kiene H. Health costs in anthroposophic therapy users: a two-year prospective cohort study. BMC Health Serv Res 2006;6:65

55